



Development of a PCR Method for Verification of S. Dublin



Flemming Hansen¹, Tomas Jacobsen¹, Søren Persson², John E. Olsen³ and Susanne Mansdal¹

> ¹ Danish Meat Research Institute, DK-4000 Roskilde ² Statens Serum Institut, DK-2300 Copenhagen S ³ University of Copenhagen – Life, DK-1870 Frederiksberg C

Objective

In Denmark, special rules regarding absence of Salmonella Dublin in beef marketed as fresh meat is agreed on by the Danish Food Authorities and the Beef producing sector. Thus, the Danish Beef Producers need a method for rapid identification of S. Dublin in order to avoid distributing contaminated meat. Consequently, a method for verification of S. Dublin based on real-time PCR was developed.

Materials & Methods:

Briefly, 9 different primer pairs targeting the virulence plasmid or the *rfbE* and *fliC* genes were designed for identification of S. Dublin. The 9 primer pairs were initially evaluated using a real time SYBR-Green PCR against a test panel of 36 different bacterial strains including 14 strains of S. Dublin.

Two primer pairs showed 100% specificity (table 1). For these primer pairs TaqMan probes were designed in order to develop a robust real-time PCR analysis. The two PCR systems were further optimised with respect to primer and probe concentration, annealing temperature, addition of BSA, glycerol and MgCl₂. In order to develop a userfriendly PCR method, commercially available "ready-to-use mastermix" from different suppliers were examined. An Internal Amplification Control (IAC) comprising primers and probe targeting the Salmonella *InvA* gene was also included. For DNA extraction, two methods were investigated: Heat extraction in TE-buffer pH = 8.0; 10 min. at 96°C was compared to the Promega Magnesil KF Genomic System using a KingFisher instrument.

Conclusion

A real time PCR method for verification of S. Dublin was developed, and subsequently validated by the NordVal protocol for use on pure cultures of Salmonella. In The NordVal comparative study, the method obtained 100% specificity and 100% sensitivity, while in the collaborative test (comprising 8 laboratories) the method showed a 99% specificity (1 false positive result) and 100% sensitivity (no false negative results).

The two optimised PCR systems were further evaluated using a test panel of 92 bacterial strains including 50 S. Dublin strains, strains of other Salmonella known to harbour the virulence plasmid and other Salmonella belonging to the D serogroup (O:9).

In order to obtain approval from NordVal, the final S. Dublin PCR method was validated according to the NordVal protocol. First a comparative study comprising 50 strains of S. Dublin, 20 strains of other Salmonella and 10 Enterobacteriaceae was carried out (table 2). The study compared the results from the PCR with the serotype obtained by the reference method (slide agglutination according to the Kauffmann-White Scheme at Statens Serum Institut, SSI). Secondly, a collaborative test was carried out comprising 8 laboratories, each analysing 20 "unknown" isolates of Salmonella by use of the new S. Dublin PCR method (table 3).

Results:

Table 1: Number of PCR positive (Ct < 36, duplicate samples) for 9 different primerpairs, tested against 14 S. Dublin and 22 non S. Dublin salmonella.

		S. Dublin	Non S. Dublin		Reactivity for S. Dublin	
Primer pair	target	(N = 14)	O:9 (N = 13)	Non O:9 (N = 9)	Sensitivity	Specificity
SalDub	Plasmid pOU1113	14	0	0	100	100
SPN	Plasmid pOU1113 (vagC)	14	1	0	100	95
SERO9	rfbE	14	13	0	100	41
FLAG	fliC	14	5	0	100	77
JEOA	Plasmid pSVD	14	0	0	100	100
JEOB	Plasmid pSVD	14	3	1	100	82
JEOC	Plasmid pSVD	3	0	0	21	100
JEOD	Plasmid pSVD	0	0	0	No PCR p	roduct
JEOE	Plasmid pSVD	0	0	0	No PCR p	product

Two primer pairs (SalDub and JEOA) showed 100% specificity/sensitivity (table 1) and were selected for further testing and optimization using a real-time TaqMan PCR.

Table 2: Number of PCR positive (Ct < 36, duplicate samples) for the two optimized real time PCR analyses (SalDub and JEOA). The testpanel consisted of 50 S. Dublin and + 20 non-S. Dublin salmonella and 10 Enterobacteriaceae

		S. Dublin	Other	Entero-	Reactivity for S. Dublin	
			Salmonella	bacteriaceae		
Primer pair	target	(N = 50)	(N = 20)	(N = 10)	Sensitivity	Specificity
SalDub	Plasmid pOU1113	50	0	0	100%	100%
JEOA	Plasmid pSVD	50	0	0	100%	100%

Both real time PCR analyses showed 100% specificity and sensitivity for S. Dublin, when compared to the serotype obtained by the reference method (slide agglutination acc. Kauffmann-White Scheme at Statens Serum Institut). However, the SalDub PCR had a slightly better Limit of Detection and produced the highest fluorescence signals, and this PCR was eventually selected for the final method.

The optimised PCR analysis:

1 colony is suspended in 200 μl of TE buffer, pH 8.0 and is heat treated at 96°C for 10 minutes. 2 μl is used as template in the PCR described below. The PCR analysis was optimised using a Stratagene MX 3005p PCR cycler.

Cycle profile: 95°C for 10 min, then 40 cycles at 95°C in 20 sec + 60°C for 60 sec.

0.5 μl PCR Water

11.1 µl SureMaster PCR Mix C4003, Congen Berlin

1.6 μl of a 2.5 μM primer-1 (SalDub-1): 5'-GGG TGA GCG AGC TGG AAA-3'

1.6 μl of a 2.5 μM primer-2 (SalDub-2): 5'-CGC CAT AAA GTC CGG GTC A-3'

1.6 μl of a 2.5 μM probe (SalDub-p): 5' FAM-TTT TTC GAG CTG CGC GAA CGA GC-BHQ1

0.4 μl of a 2.5 μM IAC-1: 5'-CTA TGT TCG TCA TYC CAT TAC CTA CCT-3'

0.4 μl of a 2.5 μM IAC-2: 5'-CCA GAC GAA AGA GCG TKG TAA T-3'

0.8 μl of a 2.5 μM IAC-p: 5' HEX-TCT GGT TGA TTT CCT GAT CGC ACT GAA-BHQ2

2.0 µl template DNA

Table 3: Collaborative Trial: Number of S. Dublin positive isolates from each laboratory as compared to the reference laboratory (10 S. Dublin and 10 non-S. Dublin salmonella)

laboratory	Non <i>S</i> . Dublin	S. Dublin	Correct results				
A	0	10	20				
В	0	10	20				
С	0	10	20				
D	0	10	20				
E	0	10	20				
F	1	10	19				
G	0	10	20				
Н	0	10	20				
Reference Lab (SSI)	0	10					